

Summary of Report to WHO on Liquid Culture and DST

Heather Alexander Konopka, PhD

Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

FIND MGIT Demonstration Projects

- Large-scale implementation and assessment of MGIT culture (and DST) in high TB-burden, low- to middle-income programmatic settings
- Objectives:
 - Demonstrate the feasibility, impact, and costs of performing MGIT culture and DST in low- to middle-income settings
 - TB/HIV case finding
 - MDR TB detection
 - Provide information to inform WHO guidance and policy

TB/HIV Case Finding

- **CREATE projects**
 - ZAMSTAR (Zambia, South Africa)
 - Thibela (South Africa)
 - THRio (Brazil)
- **AMPATH**
 - Kenya
 - Nested assessment of WHO smear-negative diagnostic algorithm
- **Include assessment of Capilia TB assay**

MDR TB Detection

- **Four sites:**
 - Nukus, Uzbekistan (MSF)
 - Kathmandu, Nepal (GENETUP)
 - Samara Oblast, Russian Federation (HPA/UK)
 - Manila, Philippines (TDF)
- **Common Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)**
- **On- and off-site training provided by outside consultants and BD**
- **Standardized protocols for phased validation and implementation of MGIT culture and DST**
- **Include rapid species identification methods (Capilia or molecular methods)**
- **Detailed cost analysis**

Preliminary Data Analysis and Report to WHO

- Performance data through February 2007
- Background document prepared by FIND and collaborators
- Presented to ad hoc review group convened by WHO (March 2007)
- Recommendations presented to and endorsed by STAG TB (June 2007)
- Issued as WHO policy (October 2007)

Background Document

- Background
- Performance data on liquid culture and DST
- Assessment of Capilia TB assay
- Costing data
- Implementation issues – lessons learned
- Patient impact
- Draft recommendations for STAG TB

Background Document

- Background
- Performance data on liquid culture and DST
- Assessment of Capilia TB assay
- Costing data
- Implementation issues – lessons learned
- Patient impact
- Draft recommendations for STAG TB

Performance Data on MGIT Culture and DST

- Culture positivity rates by smear status
- Contamination
- Time-to-detection by smear status
- DST data
- CDC Thailand data

Culture Positivity and Contamination

<u>Total</u> Smear positive Smear negative	LJ Positive	LJ Negative	LJ Cont.	Total
MGIT Positive	<u>849</u> 630 219	<u>444</u> 100 344	<u>29</u> 17 12	<u>1322</u> 747 575
MGIT Negative	<u>43</u> 24 19	<u>895</u> 13 882	<u>3</u> 0 3	<u>941</u> 37 904
MGIT Cont.	<u>58</u> 44 14	<u>119</u> 14 105	<u>18</u> 9 9	<u>195</u> 67 128
Total	<u>950</u> 698 252	<u>1458</u> 127 1331	<u>50</u> 26 24	<u>2458</u> 851 1607

- Overall MGIT positivity rate:
 - 55%
- Overall LJ positivity rate:
 - 31%
- MGIT recovery rate:
 - 92% (SS+)
 - 94% (SS-)
- LJ recovery rate:
 - 82% (SS+)
 - 41% (SS-)
- MGIT contamination
 - 8%
- LJ contamination
 - 2%

Time to Detection

	All Specimens	AFB+	AFB-
LJ	28 (22-38) (n=739)	27 (21-36) (n=539)	35 (28-42) (n=200)
MGIT	9 (6-14) (n=1252)	7 (5-10) (n=729)	14 (8-19) (n=523)

DST Data (Samara)

Drug, MGIT Concentration	Number concordant (n=202)	Percent concordant
Isoniazid (H), 0.1 µg/ml	197	98
Rifampicin (R), 1.0 µg/ml	198	98
Ethambutol (E), 5.0 µg/ml	193	96
Streptomycin (S), 1.0 µg/ml	185	92

- The median time to DST result from positive culture was 9 days (range 6-12) with MGIT and 21 days (range 18-21) with LJ

Implementation Issues – Lessons Learned

- **Biosafety**
 - Aerosol-producing procedures
 - Biosafety level (BSL) 2+ and 3 facilities and practices
- **Procurement, Shipping, Customs**
 - Delays of up to ~3 months in instrument and consumables ordering, shipment, customs clearance, and delivery
 - Reagents and supplies should be ordered with ample lead-time

Implementation Issues – Lessons Learned

- **Inventory Management and Shelf-life**
 - Certain reagents have short shelf-lives (~6 months)
 - Closely monitor inventory and expiration dates
- **Electrical Supply and Back-up Power**
 - The MGIT 960 requires constant electricity
 - Back-up electrical power is necessary to maintain constant incubator temperature and prevent data loss

Implementation Issues – Lessons Learned

- **Training**
 - Both on-site and off-site MGIT culture and DST training
 - Consistent access to external technical assistance are important
- **Technical Support and Maintenance**
 - Expectations and capabilities for services should be clarified with suppliers
 - Maintenance and service contracts are essential

Implementation Issues – Lessons Learned

- **Contamination**
 - Initial contamination can exceed 20%
 - $\leq 10\%$ over time with experience, meticulous technique, QA/QC procedures, and step-wise adjustments to SOPs
- **Species identification**
 - Should be performed on all positive liquid cultures
 - Methods should be rapid (e.g., Capilia or molecular assays)

Conclusions

- **Implementation of MGIT culture and DST is feasible in lower income settings**
- **FIND Demonstration Projects have found increased rate of mycobacterial detection and decreased time to detection**
- **Increased cost is being addressed**

Recommendations

- **Documentation of need**
- **Financial support**
- **Infrastructure**
- **Human resources and training**
- **Supply logistics**
- **Customer support**
- **Specimen collection and transport**
- **Recording and reporting**
- **Phased implementation**
- **Species identification**
- **QMS and SOPs**

Next Steps

- **Data collection through mid-2008**
- **STAG TB 2008:**
 - **DST performance**
 - **Cost-effectiveness**
 - **Patient impact**

Acknowledgements

FIND greatly appreciates the contributions of investigators at project sites, consultants who have assisted in project implementation, monitoring and the development of training materials.

Acknowledgements

CREATE/ZAMSTAR: Helen Ayles, Nulda Beyers, Peter Godfrey Faussett, Monde Muyoyeta, Ruth Tembwe, Winnie Mwanza, Carmen Pheiffer, Clarissa Kruger, Grace Mbulo, Ab Schaap, Kathy Lawrence, Deborah Milimo, all the staff of the Chest Diseases Laboratory in Lusaka and the TB Research Laboratory Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Stellenbosch University.

CREATE/Thibela: Violet Chihota, Gavin Churchyard, Xoliswa Poswa, Minty van der Meulen

CREATE/THRio: Richard Chaisson, Susan Dorman

AMPATH: Jane Carter, Bobbie van der Pol

MSF-Uzbekistan: Rob Broeder, Thierry Coppens, Michael Fincher, Stobdan Kalon, Yared Kebede, Derryck Klarkowski, Yulia Nurmanova

GENETUP: Knut Feldmann, Bhagwan Maharian, Bhabana Shrestha

Samara Oblast/UK HPA: Yanina Balabanova, Dasha Bochkova, Francis Drobniowski, Natalya Lebedeva, Nadezhda Malsmanova, Tatiana Simak, Svetlana Zalcharova, Larissa Stolvarova

TDF-Manila: Mark Bernardino, Grace Egos, Claudette Guray, Nellie Mangubat, Ruth Orillaza, Thelma Tupasi

CDC-Atlanta: Peter Cegielski, Kimberly McCarthy, Beverly Metchock, Laura Podewils, John Ridderhof, Jay Varma, Charles Wells

NRL Borstel, Germany: Sabine Ruesch-Gerdes

National JAMAL Institute for Leprosy and Other Mycobacterial

Disease: V.M. Katoch